# **3p** No. 75 Nov. 2nd to Nov. 9th 1974

TWO APPEALS were made to free the Shrewsbury pickets after they were jailed just before Christmas last year: one appeal was addressed to the top judges of the bosses' state. The other was addressed to the these two militants. working class.

Eric Tomlinson (left) and Des Warren

The judges have now given their verdict, and have sent Des Warren and Eric year sentences.

and its power felt to release regular appeal procedure to

Tomlinson back to jail to power released five dockers finish their three year and two from Pentonville jail in less than a week. Then, the bosses But the working class has and their Government would still to make its voice heard have given a lot to have a nice,

by Rachel Lever

parent excuse to let these men go through. Instead, they had In 1972, that voice and that to resort to a feeble and trans-

out of their clutches.

did not take the opportunity judges. of releasing Des and Eric through the normal channels' of the Appeal.

Nor were they interested in the legal arguments. If the trial itself was a complete farce, an open bosses' conspiracy to try to smash militact picketing, then the Appeal was even more so: so much, that Judge Widgery blatantly defined the purpose of the sentence, on men whose only crime was normal trade union activity, as a deterrent.

His aim was "total quietness", and any reduction in the sentences, or repudiation of the verdict of 'Conspiracy', would "undo all the good workthat had been produced."

### Frighten

Widgery and his class hate and fear militant picketing. They want it restricted by law... and controlled and battered by the power of special police workers as well.

Unless the working class acts to frighten and intimidate the bosses' state into freeing "good work" will continue to reverberate through the class struggle.

WIDGERY - putting the frighteners on.

But for too long now, action was kept to a bare minimum. In fact, at official level, it was non-existent. At first, there was the excuse that It speaks volumes for the a Labour Government would lame response of the organ- get in soon and free these ised labour movement since victims of Tory justice'. It the jailings 10 months ago didn't. Then, a softly softly (and since the arrests a year approach was adopted in the before that), that the judges hope of sweetening the appeal

### Rats

Now the chips are down. If we don't act now, all the yellow rats who have left things get to this stage will be heard to utter soothing words about how soon Des and Eric will be able to get parole!

UCATT Gen. Sec. George Smith, who opposed any campaign for the Shrewsbury victims and said they were criminals (and that was even before they were convicted!) now has the nerve to say he is shocked. Too shocked for action, of course...

A lot of others, who have the power to act, are doing a lot of talking.

### Scruff

But already workers are on squads. And they have used strike, workers who are not the Shrewsbury show trials to prepared to let the empty talk wanted to establish, the thin frighten and intimidate go on any longer. Up and down the country, workers at building sites, and some factories too, are letting it be known that this is their issue Des and Eric, then Widgery's and their fight. They are still few: but this time, instead of just lobbying and marching, they are going to other sites and works, to docks and industrial estates, to GET OTHER WORKERS OUT.

> The North Wales Defence Committee, the mainstay of the campaign so far, has called for action "on an even larger scale than was used in releasing the Pentonville Five". Every trade unionist, they say, must stand up and fight.

> That means first, strike action here and now, irrespective of the inaction or vague promises of the trade union leaders and officials. And it means taking those deaders by the scruff and insisting that they call the official action that's been missing up to now, and that could mobilise the vast power of organised labour.

## Manchester builders give a lead -THEM

ON FRIDAY, Nov.1st, four Manchester building sites staged a half-day stoppage, called by Pochins (Oxford Road) Manchester Polytechnic site.

Pochins was one of the the few sites in the country to go into action BEFORE the Appeal, only to be told "confidentially" by local Charter members that they "had it on good authority" that the outcome of the Appeal was already 'fixed' and the lads' sentences would

be suspended. On Friday, the men knew better. At a mass meeting of the strikers, Ian Heyes - site convenor at Pochins - proposed a further half day stoppage on Tuesday Nov.5th, with a mass meeting to plan further action. Several other sites would be leafleted to come out, as well as the 3,000 strong Direct Works Dept.

### Strike

A motion from Charter to have a whole day strike and no mass meeting (instead, for people to go to the lobby of UCATT head office in London, and attend the Charter meeting on the Wednesday night at Hulme Labour Club), was heavily defeated, and some irreverent individuals were heard to ask what had happened to the famous suspended sentences.

Ian Heyes pointed out that the mass meeting wouldn't prevent anyone who wanted to from going either to the London lobby or the Charter meeting, but that a full day stoppage (although it may sound more militant) would put the dampers on full participation at the mass meeting.

At this mass meeting the Pochins men intend to propose an all-out stoppage until Des and Eric are freed. "Whether we succeed", Ian told Workers Fight, "will depend on how much work we can put in before Tuesday". If they do succeed, the majority of Manchester's building workers will be on strike from Tuesday.

### Docks

But that's not all. Harold Youd, a leading shop steward on Manchester's docks, spoke at the meeting on Friday and said that, given a strong lead from the builders, there was a good chance of dockers coming out too.

On Friday the 8th, moreover, the Manchester and Salford Trades Council has sponsored a big meeting to discuss action for the jailed pickets. It's hoped that delegates will come from Manchester's major Trafford Park engineering plants.

If the builders have already committed themselves to action, that will strengthen the hand of those who will be calling for all-out local strikes.

And that's just the picture in one city. With actions like these going on all over the country, Des and Eric could be out of jail in no time at all.



## MINERS AVICTORY SOLIDARITY

THE DECISION of the by pegging their pay rises to the previous decision and in a coalfield ballot to be national productivity. in two weeks' time of the NCB's productivity proposals same, with the tremendous particularly as the main in a victory for solidarity

living standards and Originally, the NCB had shire and Scottish fields. conditions.

.M. to recommend reject- pit productivity rather than made the outcome of the

What was crucially at stake coal field, (as well as are the relatively small in the negotiations with the variations in mechanisation) Leicester and Nottingham NCB was miners' unity as a locally measured productivity fields, while its main oppon-

offered the local productivity NUM leader, Joe Gormley, At every stage of negotiat- scheme and a system whereby made no bones about his dision, the chief objective of the non-face workers would appointment with the new NCB has been to widen the receive 50% of the bonus paid decision. That is his right. But gap between the 86,000 face to the face man. They the attack he launched on workers and the 144,000 other conceded that this would be Communist Party Industrial miners, underground and calculated at the national Organiser, Bert Ramelson, is surface workers, and, this level, but still insisted on the a sign that the behind the done, to disintegrate the hard local productivity measure- scenes battle could be a very won unity of the face workers ment for the faceworkers, dirty one indeed.

This was the principle they end of the wedge that could lead to the effective dismembering of the NUM.

At the last negotiations, the union managed to push the figure up from 50% to 65% for non-face workers, but they accepted the pit-productivity principle.

Its rejection last Thursday by a vote of 14 to 12 reversed forthcoming ballot almost With no two seams the certain to be favourable —

variation from coal field to advocates of the NCB offer work force, as well as miners' would vary from pit to pit. ents come from the big York-

"FINALLY, and without having consulted the General Council, Mr. Murray undertook that it would unanimously press for all the eight points in the TASS resolution to be implemented if Mr. Gill would withdraw his motion. an assurance which was rapturously received by the delegates. Mr. Scanlon and Mr. Gill exchanged a long hard look across the hall, and then went off for a private conversation. Somebody suggested a five minute adjournment, but the chairman, Lord Allen, failed to take the hint and all was nearly lost. But just as he was putting resolution 49 to the vote, Mr. Gill reappeared and ... agreed to withdraw it. The day had been saved for the Labour Government."

That, according to one employers' paper, is how the Social Contract was clinched. It is now a matter of sheer speculation to wonder whether the Social Contract started out as a simple manoeuvre to get more votes, or to get a pay pause which would encourage the capitalists to

## THE NECK-TIE OR THE NOOSE

## two ways of 'controlling' the bosses' breathing

put their faith in Labour and their money ito industry, or whether its transformation into a kind of national plan was envisaged from the start. Certainly, the TUC's enthusiasm for it arose from seeing it as more than just a cover up for wage restraint.

### WARNINGS

In the words of the TUC itself, "The Social Contract is TUC's anti-inflation charter ... the Social Contract is a strategy for economic success. Out of this, greater social justice and better wages and conditions can be won."

It is a fact that many trade unionists and even militants have tended to look at the Contract in this way, hoping for some patent 'cure' for the frightening spectre of inflation. So perhaps, after all the urgent warnings to ignore is time to examine the other side of its face.

Right from the outset, the Social Contract was seen by its champions in the labour movement as the "social side" of a national plan whose economic side was laid out in the White Paper "The Re-

## by Jack Price

British generation the Contract as a con-trick, it Industry", sired by Anthony Wedgwood Benn.

This is hardly surprising since roaring inflation has always been the occasion for "national plans" -- both of the Left and of the Right. And like any other plan, the Social Contract/Industrial Regenerexplicitly plan ation committed itself to control.

That the control which is envisaged is more far-going than any other recent proposals is not because of any sudden conversion to Socialism or anything else so subversive of Labour values. It is because of the grave situation facing British capitalism. The clear prospect of widespread company bankruptcies, collapses and even slump does not act on the capitalists like a cold shower. On the contrary it leads to ever greater chaos in the ranks of capital, increasing speculation, swindle, refusal to spend money on new machinery and refusal to produce. In short: panic.

### CONTROL

At the same time these, as well as other tendencies within the system (like the decline of certain industries like ship-building and aircraft) threaten the working class with not only the decline in value of wages, but with mass unemployment.

To protect the system from its masters, therefore, its cunning servants devise a plan. They say to the workers without the masters you will have no jobs and therefore no wages" and they say to the employers "without control you'll have a revolution round

your ears". It is quite clear, of course, that not too much attention is being paid on either side. But the fact that control --- any small amount of it -- over the bosses has met with their strong resistance, means that any proposals to assert such a plan appear almost revolutionary, and will certainly need to be fought for.

### **PROPERTY**

It is in this context that the argument about "hand-outs" has arisen. The employers are demanding that the Government funnel about £3,000 million into their pockets as "industrial investment". Harold Lever, Labour's millionaire Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster and chief economic advisor, has gone some of the way to meeting them by suggesting a "float" of £1,000 million to be doled out through the banks; while Dennis Healey, the Chancolfor of the Exchequer, has already hinted at agreement with the CBI on a number of tax concessions and price changes that are worth about a further £1,500 million to the

All this means that those who have brought us (and insome cases even themselves) to the brink of rum are to be rewarded by massive cash with neither hand-outs conditions nor control,

scrutiny. The "Left", in turn.

demand that there be at least some guarantees that this money will go into industry. They point out that the last time the big businessmen got any 'incentive to invest' they didn't invest in industry at all. For instance, when Tory Chancellor Anthony Barber removed credit controls in 1971, the increased money was invested in supply property and commodity speculation, not industry.

### **DESTROY**

1972, insurance companies and pension funds alone had £3,248 million invested in property. This figure is now over £4,000 million. Benn himself motivated his proposals to increase control over the operations of the industrialists by reference to this same tendency: "In 1971, investment for each worker in British manufacturing industry was less than ball that in France, Japan or the United States, and well below that in Germany or Italy. In spite of the measures to encourage investment [the removal of credit controls and the Tories' 1972 Industry Act] taken since then, it hasstill lagged behind; indeed it was significantly less in 1973 than it was in 1970."

That meant one thing: the capitalists were not to be trusted. "This requires" concluded Benn "a closer, clearer and more positive relationship between Government and Industry." It was to

rate bonanzas for the employers but an extension of nationalisation and control of foreign trade, the TUC followed this up the very next day with a statement "that any further financial assistance to industry should be selective and should be coupled with accountability by the firms to the Government and with public participation in their control, and that the assistance should not be through the commercial

banking system." Likewise, control is the main point of the TUC's comments on the Labour Government's consultative document on the proposed

Employment Protection Act. The TUC, in addition to proposing a number of useful but still inadequate reforms which in no way limit the freedom of action of the capitalists (like extending the paid period of maternity leave to 12 weeks), proposes that "a worker appealing against a decision to dismiss him should retain his job, or be suspended on full pay until his appeal is dealt with; regional arbitration committees should be established by the Conciliation and Arbitration Service...;" and, most "redundancies important, should not take place without the approval of the Department of Employment."

It also repeats its demand for control over handouts, presses the Hovernment "to deal with the houp" which it describes as bogus selfemployment" and demands that Labour "prohibit private fee-charging employment



BLMC workers occupy in the fight against redundancies at their Basingstoke factory.

agencies.

called for

Enterprise Board, workers' participation and the Government's right to investigate the accounts of privately owned industry

The fact that these proposals are inextricably linked with the Social Contract has made the HIC General Council line ap against the Levers and Healeys in the Labour Party who repudiate such accountability. For it is their preparedness to leave out any practical accounting that undermines the Social Contract from the other side of the class fence.

When the Tribune MPs told Wilson at the first Parliamentary Labour Party there should be no bargain-

The division between the right wing Healey - 1 cour -Jenkins group and the Beau Tribune "lefts" is thus a very real one. But this division is small compared with the gap between what this "left" proposes, and what is really

Their conception of a "plan" essentially envisages a completely passive working class. The link between the plan and the Social Contract serves to bring this out most clearly: the workers' side of the Social Contract is, when all's said and done, lying down and keeping quiet.

Meanwhile, the capitalist meeting after the election that—class will engage in every kind of Parliamentary and extra-



## WORKING WOMEN'S CHARTER Issues the national conference must tackle

DELEGATES turned up last Saturday (Oct. 26th) to what many thought was to be the first national conference of Working Women's Charter Campaign. However, in no sense was it such a conference.

First, it turned out that though some members of the Steering Committee had thought it was to be a national conference, others had been under the impression that it was to be a London meeting. Because many delegates had come from outside London, it was decided that they should be included in the voting, and a further vote allowed observers to vote as well.

However, because it was not fully a national meeting and many areas had not received invitations, it was decided that amendments to the Charter could not be dis-

cussed. \* The confusion over the extent of the Conference meant that further time was taken deciding whether an organisational structure should be determined before strategy was worked out, or vice versa. Because it wasn't a proper national conference. structure had to be torsaken. even though a proposal had been made from the organ-

asing committee At last a debate on strategy was opened, but the large a proper of people wishing to or six ones was soon cut for a fight to equalise

down to 2 minutes per person. and the conference ended hastily with a decision to reconvene the conference on a definite London basis to prepare for a proper national conference.

At such a reconvened meeting, or before, the following areas should be discussed.

working around the Charter, political groupings supporting it, and interested organisations of the labour movement, and in particular, representatives from recent women's struggles.

### Methods

Such a conference should be prepared and preceded by circulation documents on methods of work and amendments to the Charter. Given the often vague and un-specific nature of many of the points of the Charter, this could provide a proposals for very necessary other facilities. enlargement of the demands for nurseries and other publicly provided facilities to take a great deal of the burden. Campaign cannot make a reall of housework and childeure impact in the liberation of are a meant that time for off the backs of women; and women today.

women's rights and status within the labour movement. Other amendments could include more specific demands in relation to women claimants, etc.

2. The setting up of a permanent national structure and organisation, with centralised publications 1.Preparation for the which would be a focus for national conference, with information gathering and delegates from all bodies research work, for publicising successes, backing up women's struggles, organising demonstrations and pickets and bringing the demands of the Charter to the attention of meetings and conferences of the labour movement through resolutions and leaflets; and finally, getting the Charter Campaign affiliated to other bodies and organisations. such as the National Rank and File organisation.

local 3. Methods OI. organisation and work, to l include initiatives for factory groups and branches to help women to organise themseives within the trade union really aschul we, both to movement and to push for the involve grassroots organis- inclusion of the Charter's ations in a constructive discus- demands in regular pay sion on women's needs, and claims, and to agitate at local also to bring in new ideas, and municipal level for the Already, there have been provision of murseries and

> Given such an approach. there is no reason why the Working Women's Charter

Sue Leigh

Parliamentary obstruction in the face of restrictions to its traditional freedom of action. Unless concerted action is taken against them, it will be a repeat of 1964, when Labour ditched its programme of feeble reforms because of opposition from the bankers.

This has already started. A of companies number (Pilkingtons, British Caledothers) have onian and announced their refusal to out previously made

investment plans unless the Government backs down again.

### **PASSIVE**

And the workers aren't prepared to be passive. Quite apart from the upsurge of struggles over wages and conditions, Benn has received dozens of requests from factory convenors to meet them to discuss nationalisation of the firms they work in.

This is a hint of the fighting power that could be called up to defend a Labour Government that was prepared to stand up to the capitalists.

Thus both sides are shaping up for a struggle.

But it is a struggle that the Labour Party, both right and left (as well as the TUC) is not prepared to wage. Even while the left Labourites counterpose themselves (in words) and their plan to the will of the employers, they counter-

pose themselves and their plan (in fact) to the struggleactivity of the working class. And it's not even as if they just refuse to involve themselves in and encourage the extraparliamentary struggle. The performance of the lefts in parliament is no less miserable than their performance outside.

And yet any control worthy of the name is something that only the working class can — and only the

working class and its loyal elected directly and representatives should excercise. the record, the Labour lefts, the TUC General Council, have shown a greater loyalty to maintaining a "favourable bargaining situation" (that is, a booming capitalism) than they have to the working class.

The nationalisation and state control we have come to know has meant vast, often always inefficient and bourgeois-minded bureaucracy. Even if the Government were an extremely left of good and intentions, what is really needed is control by workers over industry and distribution through shop committees, trade unions and other workers' organisations.

### **CREDIT**

Moreover, the new regime that the Social Contract fraudulently promised to herald should not death by burdened to 'compensation' debts. This sort of "socialism" on the never-never will never never be socialism. So - no compensation for big business.

The present debate on handouts Government basically reveals the inadequacy of all solutions, however well intentioned, that fall short of a nationalisation of all the banking, credit and financial instit-

utions. That is the only way to control investment and simultaneously submit it to a plan working in the interests of, and decided on by, the working class. Nationalisation and centralisation of these these institutions should be done on the basis of a restoration of the savings of the small investor but not a penny to the banking and big business grandees.

### **ACUTE**

What the industrialists are presently clamouring for is nothing less than money with menaces. If they don't get the money, they say, there will be mass unemployment. (Mass unemployment, that is, at a time of acute shortages: food shortages, housing shortages, shortages in manning of public and social services and so on.) If they dare either to carry out their threat of redundancy or attempt in any way to sabotage attempts to introduce even half hearted controls, their firms should be occupied by workers and they should be thrown out. While demanding the expropriation of such companies "from above", workers should not wait, but act "from below".

Steps toward control of the industries in which we spend our lives working and on which our livelihoods depend are necessary, and they should be done as a right, and not purchased as part of either a phony con-trick or a

real contract.



Scanlon - a long hard look

IN HIS letter to Workers Fight

(No.72) John O'Mahony

argues that any 'meaningful'

price control is impossible

under capitalism. The word

'meaningful' here begs the

whole question. If it is taken to

mean that no capitalist govern-

ment can rationally plan the

allocation of labour time

between the various differing

needs of society, then he is, of

course, correct. If this were

otherwise then there would be

little point in publishing

False

If however the point is that it

is impossible for governments

to influence prices, then the

argument is false. Quite

obviously governments can

and do influence prices by

such measures as subsidies

(which were mentioned),

taxation, monetary policy and

the setting of prices in

nationalised industries. This is

not to say that the law of value

no longer functions. It is to say

that it functions in a very much

modified form from that

described by J.O'M. In the

steel industry, for example,

prices have been kept down as

a matter of government policy,

which is very much to the

advantage of those capitalists

J.O'M. again correctly states

that socialists should not

advocate price control. But the

who buy steel.

Workers Fight.



Trades Union Congress - voting for the Social Contract

### Price control - the debate More letters on back page goes On...

of wages not only presents an immediately practical way in which the working class can defend its living standards, but also provides a demand which can mobilise workers towards the overthrow of capitalism.

Likewise on Northern Ireland. We do not demand that British troops do this, that or the other. We demand that they get out. However, when we point out how the Labour government backs down to the backward Orange bigots, does that logically imply that we are endorsing the presence of British troops, if only to strike at the Loyalists? Of course it doesn't. Or, to take another example, when Labour came to power in 1964 it did so on the basis of an expansionary policy for British capitalism. It was very soon forced to climb down by international finance. Of course socialists don't go around demanding expansionary capitalism', but when the Labour government backs down on this, is it not quite correct to point out the fact and explain it? Andrew Roberts

### Not the whole story

J.O'M.'s letter (WF72) is quite right to warn against the misleading aspect of the headline 'Healey backs down on Price Control'. To suggest that a government running the capitalist system can do anything to intervene effectively in the basic market forces of capitalist anarchy would be wrong in fact, and could indeed detract from the need of workers to intervene themselves in the best way of all — by pushing wages up.

But his letter failed to make one important qualification. All too often the question of prices is treated in terms only of this (entirely right in itself) Marxist understanding of capitalism, failing to take account of a reality which has been somewhat moailied.

A very large proportion of workers' income is spent on things like fares, rates, gas, electricity, rent and taxes (not just income tax, but also the regressive notoriously purchase tax - now VAT and other tariffs that greatly affect the price of alcohol and tobacco). Then there are school dinners, dental and health charges, radio and TV

To say that a Labour government has no control over all these items is to let it off a very big hook indeed. That state or municipal controlled goods and services should be cheaper or free

rather than being run on a

profit-making basis, is a

demand which revolutionaries should not be 'above' making. It is of course a demand for reform, but only sectarians would ignore it or deny the need for it. After all, higher wages, pensions and benefits, better housing, health and education are also 'reformist' demands, but they all form part of the general struggle to improve workers' living standards under capitalism. Jane Gordon

IT REQUIRES effort to tear through the shrouds of scholasticism covering the figure of John Milton, who died 300 years ago. But the effort is worthwhile, for the voice of Milton is that of the first openly revolutionary poet in English literature, and of a man whose life and art are inseperably bound up with the process of the English bourgeois revolution between 1640 and 1660.

was deeply Milton involved in the events of these years, and his great work Paradise Lost in particular gains its power and authority from his intense grappling with the history around and within him.

The forces of the English revolution, in breaking the fetters of the established Church and Monarchy, drastically altering society for the benefit of developing capitalism, had produced, over a long period, a revolutionary ideology, Puritanism.

### Free will

Expressed in the terms of the religious struggle, this represented in essence the idealised will of the bourgeois in struggle against the institutions of a feudal past. Puritanism combined two features that are vital in understanding Milton. One was predestination — the idea that God had pre-ordained the victory of the righteous Parilamentarians over the reactionary forces in the Civil War; the other was free will -- expressing the individualism of the brouegsoi revolutionary who believed that by his own efforts and on his own responsibility he could create the conditions he required, and thus fulfil the 'word of God'

The most radical of the Puritans, including Milton, believed that their victory in the Civil War, the elimination of King and bishops, and the breaking of barriers between the self and God, would usher in the 'Kingdom of Christ on earth'.

These hopes were dashed by the reality of the as

# Lament for a

300 years after the death of the poet John Milton

class struggles in the revolution. The splits within the Parliamentary forces between the upper strata of the bourgeoisie, the Presbyterians, and the petty bourgeois masses behind the Independents, the use of the mass movement to defeat the King's forces and then the eventual crushing of the Levellers and radical Diggers, the consolidation of power under Cromwell and the later compromise of royal restoration, carried through a partial bourgeois revolution most effectively. But it did so at the expense of the lower middle class and artisans who had borne most of the fighting, and of the ideology of a biblical millenium which had done so much to sustain them in it.

### Poetry

Their aspirations were for a kind of "classless" in fact, petty bourgeois democracy that was incompatible with further productive development and the bourgeois need for a political and economic framework in which to consolidate their rule.

Milton himself was hostile to the democrats, believing the interests of the revolution in the 1650s to lie with a few individuals round Cromwell. But with the restoration of the monarchy, the 'spiritual' revolution was finally -Milton's betrayed, and poetry, working through the widest historical and philosophical field of reference, attempts to come to terms with this, the defeat of all his hopes.

Milton never saw his art contradicting

revolutionary activity. He saw poetry as a mode of action, interpreting and communicating essence of human experience in relation to the universe, to educate and elevate that experience.

Combined with his passionate, proud nature and his massive energy, this produces a majestic poetic style, forged

aries is replaced, in defeat, by the striving for the individual "paradise within". Thus are 'God's ways' justified to man. explain the revolutionary energy and dynamism of

so the fight for the earthly

paradise of the revolution-

But none of this can Paradise Lost, because this energy all goes through the figure of Satan, who fills the poem with a tragic spirit of revolt, the striving of the individual will against a corporate tyranny.

It is Satan who dares to pit his will against God, who dares to declare total war and create the "evil" which Adam and Eve then choose to accept, and which brings man out of the luxurious parasitism in Eden into the long toil of productive labour, at the end of which is promised rest in Christ.



Satan - a heroic energy

defiant, blind solitude and structured in the form of epic, the breadth of which he needed to render a fallen world comprehensible in terms of the Christian myth.

The defeats of the revolution caused him to think that the majority of mankind, on their own responsibility, were incapable of attaining the 'grace' requisite "Christ's Kingdom". This was attributed to the Fall. Only the Elect could overcome this, by their own efforts of reason and will whereas the majority will always be sinful and imperfect, incapable of fulfilling

Thus is the myth. But for Satan there is no rest, and his surging power is such that the rebel Milton almost denies the reassurances of Christianity even while

striving to assert them. In Satan is the spirit of individual revolution which cannot succeed, but beats savagely, heroically against the omnipotent. It is that spirit which Milton cannot subdue in himself, no matter how much he exalts "reason" as the light of the elect. And it is that crucial core of Milton, with all its tragic limitations in the bourgeois consciousness, that we need to point to in order to do justice to his memory.

"the word of Christ". And ALANHASLAM

### article in WF did not do this. Rather it pointed out how the Labour government was backing down in the face of capitalist opposition to price controls. Of course, if it really is impossible for governments to influence prices, then the headline "Healey backs down on price centrel" is nonsense.

But then if it really is impossible for governments to control prices, why are the ्टक्ष स्थारकोड clamouring for metrols to be dropped? They not prefér to keep □ More the window-dressing perpose, which was of course the principle aim of the

price for controls merely poses a capitalism within reform the mobilising without the for working class overthrow of capitalism. We are not concerned advocating this or variation of capitalist rule, but in getting rid of it altogether. licences, nursery facilities (if The demand for a sliding scale one can find them!) etc.

exercise anyway? Reform

# We

ON October 28th, the women Wingrove and Rogers. They had won their strike — there was no doubt about that. They had won the bonus payment and threshold that the bosses had refused to pay up, and they can be sure there won't be any monkeying around by the bosses when it comes to paying out the extra £1 they're committed to in November, and the £2 due in March under the national AUEW agreement over Equal Pay.

One clause that it is hoped will remain as worthless as the paper it's written on, is that practice the bosses splitting people up and moving militants around to keep them isolated.

But as long as the strong organisation that's been built up in the strike is kept up, it will be possible to fight against such meddling.

After the mass meeting which had unanimously accepted the agreement, talked to three of the members of the strike committee.

Rita, who is a shop steward, explained why she thought they'd won: "Partly because of the threatened one day strike of AUEW workers in Liverpool. Mainly because we knew we had a fight on our hands with Wingroves, and we were determined to go on for 12 months if necessary. That's why we won."

### Power:

They had also had support. Joan told me they'd been helped by "blacking from firms throughout the country Support on the picket line; donations from almost all factories in Liverpool." And Rita added "We also got donations from the Women and Socialism Conference in Birmingham, and from the Working Women's Charter in London."

Kathy added: "If we are forced to go on strike again, we will know what to do from the start. We won't just sit around and wait for the union officials. We'd go around and get blacking and support. We realised that the power of the unions' is ourselves. And another thing. We learnt never to believe what the papers say, the Daily Express and the Liverpool Echo. And we learned about the police."

It was Joan who summed up the feeling of these new, yet now seasoned and experienced, working class militants: "We learned the true meaning of a union. The need to stick together: that WE are the union. The union is the only protection that working class people have."

JOHN BLOXAM

victory. They have gained the future.

ivity deal. Mos firms operate in gross pay).

their main demand of a £40

a mileage bonus, under which

(usually 28) he goes; the

employers wanted this

Fault

rejected.

rejected last week.

NOW FOR PARITY!

THE Scottish haulage drivers But with a severe recession

have won a considerable starting it will be important in

basic (as opposed to around that it provides no protection

£28 previously). The bosses against inflation, apart from

stages, and include the exist- due to expire next month.

ing thresholds within it, was This will mean the rapid

rise conditional on a product- (about a 40% average increase)

a driver gets paid one hour's victory for the Scottish

wages for every so many miles drivers, and demands for

to be raised. This too was road haulage industry.

They then tried to make the ial gains won from the strike

attempt to pay out in two existing threshold deals -

A NATIONAL contingent of WORKERS FIGHT joined the 5,000 strong demonstration on Sunday 27th to demand: TROOPS OUT OF **IRELAND NOW!** 

The week before, another who'd been on strike for 17 600 British soldiers had been weeks went back to work at I flown over to Ireland, to relieve their hard-pressed and exhausted comrades who must indeed have needed a rest after their labours of Wednesday October 17th.

For it was on that day, at dawn, after the fires had died down to ashes, that 3,000 British soldiers invaded Long serious dog bites, 6 with they had to be hospitalised. Kesh to show the prisoners who was who.

### uas

agreeing to total flexibility of gas from helicopters, and then labour. It would mean in they went in on foot, firing rubber bullets, and rounded up the republican prisoners onto the football pitch.

> less all over, (after a savage Curragh Detention Barracks in mauling from the Army's the south of Ireland have issued a dogs, and with most of the statement warning against the men spreadeagled up against effects of the 1974 Prisons Bill, the perimeter fence) they went to work. Defenceless men (MPs; the Irish Labour Party is were mercilessly kicked and part of a coalition with the Tory beaten; dragged

## Brutal assaults at Long Kesh

through the mud by their legs; run around barefooted, or made to kneel on stones.

At the end of five hours, the list of injuries from just 4 of the twenty odd cages included 5 men with concussion, 25 bones, 19 suffering from rubber bullet wounds, 25 with

resulting from heavy beating Labour Party, tenants and kicking. Some can hardly association or trades council, walk; a man hit in the throat to join the growing number of by a rubber bullet can't talk, those who say: Get the British and another is coughing troops out of Ireland. They

with broken or fractured a doctor was seen, except by purchase clothing for the those so seriously hurt that prisoners in Long Kesh. Send be defeated simply by small

cuts, 13 with head and face north of Ireland, these men Belfast, marking the envelope injuries, and 40 with wounds are still sleeping in gutted "Clothing Fund".)

huts, wearing the clothes they had on them when they burned down the camp in anger at the breaking of every promise by the British authorities.

COLDITZ WAS NEVER LIKETHIS!

### Fund

Give YOUR support to these men behind the wire. Get your trade union or have no right to be there!

For two days after that, not (A fund has been opened to your donation to Eire Nua groups of militants disrupting As snow begins to fall in the Bookshop, 170a Falls Road,

## First, they rained down CS CURRAGH WARNING Watch for as from helicopters, and then round-up of militants!

And when it was more or POLITICAL prisoners at the

recently passed in the Dail with the support of the Labour TDs along Fine Gael).

### MEETINGS

technic Union, Aytour St.

revolutionary regroupment" 1pm, Monday 18th November Room 242, Enfield College.

MANCHESTER Workers Fight LONDON Workers Fight forreaders' meeting, "The case um, "Popular Front and Populfor a revolutionary regroup- ar Unity: Spain and Chile". ment". Speaker: Martin Speaker: Bas Hardy. 8pm, Thomas. 8.30pm, Wednesday Sunday November 3rd, at the 6th November, at the Poly- George', Liverpool Road, Not (near the Angel)

**BRIGHTON** Workers Fight ENFIELD College. Workers readers' meeting. "The case Fight meeting. "The need for for a revolutionary regroupment". Speaker: Sean Speaker: Andrew Hornung. Matgamna. 1pm, Friday 8th November, at the University of Sussex Union.

further 3 year period of military show the workers in the north lised at Enfield College detention. It is, say the prisoners, that they have a common cause I Students' Union on Saturday not only aimed at Republicans, because they are struggling 19th November, for all students but also at left wingers and against capitalism to obtain a supporting the original line of militants in the labour move- decent standard of living." ment, in the anticipation of a They say that the first step is to struggle in the coming period.

large scale arrests of militants against this repressive legislation delegates. have been held and blueprints for — which may be a bit hopeful, as such operations have been drawn they have themselves had a hand up. In preparation for this, the inframing it and voting it in! army and gardai (police) have been almost doubled in strength in both men and equipment.

before Special Courts, convicted where the experience of internon little or no evidence and ment gained by the British state camps which are ready to stand them in good stead in accomodate large numbers. This dealing with working class is the real reason for the Prisons militants. The Shrewsbury trials Bill that allows civilians to be are part of this process. imprisoned in military camps. ... class, both north and south, must he Irish struggle. be defeated and firm action J.G.

The legislation allows for a against Cosgrave (Irish PM) will

severe shaepening of the class get the Labour leaders to Student Unions and Socialist withdraw from the Coalition and Societies across the country "Top level meetings concerning fight with the trade unions have been invited to send

### **Pickets**

However, their statement is "Militants will be dragged quite significant for us here, thrown into military prison forces will no doubt before long interim rise.

Which is just one more reason These attacks on the working why we cannot turn our backs on Year" — and Scottish teachers

## ears letters let ans letters letters;

## Zionists admit pressure on Jews

settle in this country, I have London. obtained additional informest to your readers.

Warsaw Jewish family wiped Israel). out by the Nazis - was held at The Buyers and Mr. Mikhail ently "bewildered" by his det- going back. ention! Four members of a Before returning to Israel,

The other tault of the deal is

erosion of even the substant-

However, the deal is a big

parity will play an important

part in future struggles in the

Indeed, 800 drivers working

for state owned companies

(Scottish Road Services and

the Tayforth group) are still

FURTHER to your excellent 11th after being 'persuaded' to article onthe Labour Govern- return by Mr. Yitzhack Mayer, ment's refusal to permit head of the Jewish Agency Russian Jewish immigrants to Immigration department in

On their return to Israel, ation which might be of inter- they staged a sit-in at Ben Gurion airport to demand One of the would-be imm- fresh emigration facilities; igrants, 47 year old Mr. Yosef (they have since, according to Buyer — the sole survivor of a news reports, agreed to stay in

Pentonville prison, where he Shapiro, a bachelor, refused to refused to eat for two days. He return to Israel and Mr. Buyer claimed that he had comm- and his eldest son became itted no crime and was appar- violent at the prospect of

tamily named Tsirinsky were the Tsirinskys telephoned sent back to Israel on October Soviet Embassy diplomats from Heathrow in an un-Scottish drivers win their aid. Jewish

Jewish relief and welfare organisations in London refused to help any of the families on the grounds that they could not be classified as refugees, their original place of refuge being Israel,

The Jewish Chronicle, which reported all these facts, nevertheless did not see fit to voice an opinion on the matter. Is the Jewish community leadership against the free immigration of Jews, West Indians, Asians etc into Britain? The despicable silence of the Zionist organisforgotten the next time there is a hullabaloo about 'leftist anti-Semitism'.

May I also call your readers' attention to the case of the 100 Russian Jewish families at hunger strikes in support of with women.

their demand and have even, in their desperation, attacked the Soviet Embassy (which promptly called in the Austrian riot police to get rid of them!)

These stateless people are living in absolutely appailing conditions, as the Zionist successful attempt to enlist organisations have refused to supposed to be 'masculine'. give them a penny. Socialists in this country have a duty to raise their case, and to demand that they be allowed to go back to Russia. ALANADLER

### WHO SHOULD DESIGN **WOMEN'S** CLOTHES?

ations and the pro-Zionist IN THE ARTICLE in WF74 pursuit. press on this issue must not be "Haunted by the shadow of The other objection, that of model women's clothes is a general feature of designed by men". This capitalism and imperialism, present in Vienna, who left implies that if women with fashion as just one Israel more than a year ago designed the clothes it would symptom. And it has nothing right to return to the Soviet ing the whole fashion business male chauvinist. Union? They have staged which is based on a male I am, by the way, solely what women wear. **SUE LEIGH** 

either that the fashion industry as it exists is desirable, or that it would be any less male chauvinist in its outlook and effect if women designed more of the clothes, or that women's aim should be primarily to 'get

However, it is a fact that even in jobs that this patriarchal, male chauvinist society would designate as "women's jobs", such as cooking and sewing, the only jobs carrying status and prestige are reserved for men. Thus men as chefs do creative, highly paid cooking, and men as fashion house' heads carry out the creative and prestigious side of the fashion industry, while in each case women play a subordinate role. The point was that if this is so in such spheres, how much more is this subordination in force in occupations which are

### Dynamic

Incidentally, although the fashion industry as it exists is sexist, there is no reason why liberated women should be against the change, variety and dynamism associated with it - free from the snobbery, the overwhelming commercialism of its 'built in obsolescence' and the emphasis on 'femininity', the imaginative design of clothes would be a perfectly harmless

Home", when speaking of how the decadence of such frivolity women take a secondary role in a world where people starve. in jobs, you say that "they even is not confined to fashion but and have been demanding the be alright, rather than attack- whatever to do with it being

article: for practical reasons the other signatories were

## NEWS

ON JUNE 15th the National Union of Students stepped down on its decision to oppose fascist and racist speakers "by any means necessary".

The major National Front mobilisations since then in Leicester and in London point up the need for socialist students to make sure the NUS moves back to a firm antifascist policy.

Not that the original NUS resolution, or some of the actions based on it, were tactically perfect: fascists will not meetings. Far less so will racism: racism is not something found in the occasional meeting, but running deep through almost every part of society.

But it is important that a clear "by any means necessary" clause is included in NUS policy, so that students who do take action have clear backing.

A conference is being organopposing fascism and racism "by any means necessary".

TEACHERS are preparing for a fight over the Houghton Committee's enquiry into teachers' pay. Already over 2,000 teachers are on strike in Scotland, demanding an immediate £15 per week

The Educational Institute of Scotland reports "payment as a result of the Houghton Inquiry is unlikely to take place until well into the New are not prepared to wait. In taking this action, they are firmly rejecting the Social Contract. English teachers must also make sure that the NUT Executive does not use the Social Contract as a letout, as they did last February in dropping their claim from 25% and 7% and pleading that they had to under Phase 3.

to the top' merely in jobs to do Any campaign round teachers' pay must include the demand for full restoration of the £180 million education cuts, plus extra to take inflation into account. The National Union of School Students in reacting to the cuts by calling a demonstration of students, parents, and teachers, on Sunday, November 3rd. Socialist teachers should support this, and Rank and File, the militant group in the NUT, should take this opportunity to forge links with the NUSS by calling joint public meetings and using these as a basis for helping to set up more school branches of the NUSS. NUSS demo against the cuts:

Assemble 2pm Sunday November 3rd, Charing Cross Embankment.

OF ALL the sights that most outrage a Londoner conscious of the terrible pressure of homelessness, it is that of perfectly good houses cleared of their tenants and left standing year in, year out to

The question being asked in Station Ward, Finsbury Park, is: is it really necessary. And a Housing Action Group has been formed of squatters and residents, who make the following: points: community is being destroyed, people are scattered. this type of redevelopment is expensive and not always necessary, and it would be preferable to have renovation and rehabilitation of the | original houses::::

So far, the group has picketed a house in an attempt to stop it being gutted, and they call on tenants and trade unionists to support them.

Published by Wickers Frant. 98 Gifford, Street a Sudon, N.1 Printed by A. Stantary Jahour. Registered de a newspaper at In Post Office Control of the Contro

The only demand not met on strike, as they have only chauvinist attitude towards responsible for that part of the was for a guaranteed 45 hour been offered £35.66 as part of week, whether work was a national pay deal. Their Campaign for Homosexual available or not. Up till now struggle should be made the Equality. Demonstration this has not been an import- lever to spread the campaign against legal restrictions on ant issue — most drivers work for parity.

In USING the particular which included that point the particular which included the particular whic unable to check the final draft. 2nd November. example, I was not implying RACHELLEVER much longer hours anyway. Simon Temple